

In problems 1–3, refer to the denotational semantics specification for constant declarations on pp. 26–27 of the notes.

1 Compute $\mathcal{E}[2*x](\text{binding } \mathcal{I}[x] \ 3)$.

2 Compute $\mathcal{E}[\text{let val } x=\text{true in } \neg x]$.

3 Use the fact that overlay is associative, i.e.,

$$\text{overlay}(e_2, \text{overlay}(e_1, e_0)) = \text{overlay}(\text{overlay}(e_2, e_1), e_0),$$

to show that

$$\mathcal{E}[\text{let } CD_1 \text{ in let } CD_2 \text{ in } E] = \mathcal{E}[\text{let } CD_1; CD_2 \text{ in } E].$$

In problems 4–5, let (D_1, \sqsubseteq_{D_1}) , (D_2, \sqsubseteq_{D_2}) , (D_3, \sqsubseteq_{D_3}) be CPOs, $e_1: D_2 \rightarrow D_3$, $e_2: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$. Prove the following theorems.

4 **Theorem.** \forall directed $M \subseteq D_1 \times D_2$, $\exists y \in D_1 \times D_2$, $y = \sqcup M$.

5 **Theorem.** e_1, e_2 are continuous $\implies e_1 \circ e_2$ is continuous.

6 Design a semantic function $\mathcal{N}: \text{Nested-Parentheses} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_\perp$ for the following syntax so that the denotation of Nested-Parentheses is the maximum depth of parenthesis nesting. For example, $\mathcal{N}[(())()] = 2$.

- Syntax

$$N \longrightarrow \varepsilon \mid (N) \mid N_1 N_2 \quad (\text{Nested-Parentheses})$$